A Message from the Chair…

Our Board of Directors of the SSSP acted promptly and agreed to send a statement to the Governor of Arizona condemning the bill and calling for its rescission. I encourage you to visit the SSSP website for more ideas about how to get involved to stop this type of legislation from being enacted. On a more positive note, I want to announce the winner of the Educational Problems Division Student Paper Competition, Kristin Marie Jordan. Kristin is from the Department of Sociology at Indiana University. Her paper, "A Competitive Edge in College Admissions: The Impact of Cultural Capital and College Admissions Preparations on College Destinations" is an excellent example of the contributions our junior colleagues are making to the field of Education and to Social Justice. We had several excellent papers submitted this year and as always, we are pleased to see the quality and scope of the contributions of our future leaders in the field and in SSSP. I want to express my gratitude to our reviewers, Heather Dalmage, Professor of Sociology and Director of the Social Justice Institute at Roosevelt University and Gideon Sjoberg, Professor Emeritus at the University of Texas-Austin. I also want to take this opportunity to thank you for your support during my first year as Chair of the Educational Problems Division. I am particularly grateful to Maria E. Luna Duarte for her assistance and hope that you have the chance to meet Maria in Atlanta. I hope to see all of you during this year’s meeting in Atlanta, Georgia. Your participation will make the meeting a great success. We will continue to solicit your comments and suggestions about how to improve our division and to increase involvement. Please feel free to use the listserv or the newsletter to submit your ideas, comments, etc. Thanks to those of you who have already commented. Please know that we appreciate your input and that your ideas will be presented to our membership. Working in a department with an explicit focus on social justice I am particularly excited about our upcoming meetings in Atlanta and I hope you are as well. We have some great sessions planned and I want to thank colleagues who have agreed to serve as organizers for these sessions. I hope the summer is treating you well and that you are busy, feeling productive in your work and hopeful for our future. I look forward to working with you and seeing you at the meetings!

Best wishes,

Pamela
Pamela Anne Quiroz, Ph.D.
Professor of Educational Policy Studies
& Sociology
University of Illinois-Chicago
Division Chair, 2009-2011

The Society for the Study of Social Problems Annual Meeting

We look forward to seeing you there!

THEME: SOCIAL JUSTICE WORK
August 13-15, 2010
The Sheraton Atlanta Hotel
165 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, GA

For more information and to register please visit the SSSP’s website at:
http://www.sssp1.org
Education or Punishment: Unauthorized Students in the Crosshairs

Special Contribution: Leonard Ramirez, Ph.D., Director of the Latin American Recruitment and Educational Services Program (LARES), University of Illinois in Chicago

The signing of SB 1070 by Arizona Governor Jan Brewer has put into effect a law that exposes people of color, especially Mexicans and Latinos as a whole, to racial profiling. Despite conservative justifications that offer an idealized, racially-neutral scenarios for SB 1070 implementation, many people across the country believe that a law that encourages police officials to verify the legal residency of “suspicious persons” opens the door to widespread abuse, undermines personal freedoms, and adopts police-state methods as a means to curb the growth of undocumented immigrants. The law may ultimately be found to be unconstitutional. However, conservative initiatives such as SB 1070 contribute to the “bipolar” policy environment that affect school-age immigrants, which has simultaneously focused on extending opportunities to unauthorized youth on the one hand and constructing barriers to their enrollment in higher education on the other (Flores & Chapa, 2009; Gilroy, 2007; Olivas, 2009; Russell, 2007).

The attack on the children of undocumented immigrants has been occurring at least since 1975 when the State of Texas attempted to make the enrollment of children in public schools illegal. This issue was eventually settled by the Supreme Court in *Plyer v. Doe* (1982), which provided free K-12 access to all students regardless of citizenship status (Olivas, 2009). Linda Greenhouse (2010), a columnist for the New York Times and lecturer in law at Yale, identifies three fundamental arguments embedded in critical court cases that have guided progressive policy perspectives related to educational access for the children of the undocumented. A different standard should be used when addressing the situation of those not responsible for entering the U.S. illegally. As stated by Justice Brennan, “a lifetime hardship on a discrete class of children not accountable for their disabling status” should not be imposed. A second argument relates to the prioritization of the nation’s interested regarding the idea that the nation that would be better served by increasing the educational levels of the population and avoiding the creation of what Justice Powell referred to as “an underclass of future citizens and residents.” Finally, local attempts to restrict illegal immigration such as the implementation of housing restrictions as in the infamous Hazelton, Pennsylvania case resulted in the reaffirmation that immigration policy is the purview of the federal government (Greenhouse, 2010). However, *Plyer v. Doe* did not extend to institutions of higher education (Flores & Chapa, 2009; Olivas 2009; Russell, 2007). Therefore, the postsecondary arena has increasingly been the site where conservatives have challenged the assumptions outlined by Greenhouse, especially since the beginning of the decade when states began to pass legislation facilitating college attendance for undocumented youth.

According to the Pew Hispanic Center, approximately 12 million undocumented immigrants reside in the U.S. The Urban Institute estimates that every year (Russell, 2007). In order to encourage the 65,000 unauthorized students graduate from high schools college attendance of these students, “As of 2009, only ten states (California, Illinois, Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, New York, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin) had policies allowing students who attend and graduate from in-state high schools to qualify for in-state tuition regardless of immigration status. Four other states have laws that ban undocumented students from receiving in-state tuition (Arizona, Colorado, Georgia, and North Carolina), while two states (Alabama and South Carolina) ban undocumented students from attending community colleges all together” (Diaz-Strong, et. al, 2010). Although federal law does not restrict states from providing benefits to undocumented students, The Higher Education Act of 1965 restricts undocumented students from obtaining federal financial aid such as Pell Grants while the 1996 Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA) and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) allow for states to pass legislation to grant unauthorized youth in-state tuition while prohibiting the provision of benefits to undocumented students not offered to citizens (Biswas, 2005; Olivas, 2009; Russell, 2007). These in-state tuition policies have contributed to the increased enrollment of undocumented youth in higher education (Flores, 2010; Flores & J. Chapa, 2009). The Development, Relief, and Education for Alien Minors Act (DREAM Act) seeks to create a national policy that would allow for unauthorized students to receive in-state tuition and other forms of state and federal financial assistance (excluding Pell Grants) as well as offering a route to citizenship for undocumented youth that serve in the military or attend higher education for a certain number of years.

Conservatives and critics have tried to undermine assumptions at the national level have guided public policy regarding educational access for unauthorized youth. They attempt to refocus the debate on the need to penalize immigrant children arguing that granting educational benefits to unauthorized students rewards unlawful behavior and serves as an incentive to increase illegal immigration. States like Georgia and Arizona have prohibited the granting of in-state tuition for undocumented college students. Legislation has been introduced in state houses to deny the admission of these students to state universities while politicians have urged academic institutions to bar the admission of unauthorized youth. States have also sought to make colleges and universities adjunct enforcers of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), pressuring higher education institutions to validate U.S. residency. Colleges have resisted this imposition citing complications related to training and administrative inexperience as well as the added expense associated with expanding their responsibilities precisely when public education is facing shortfalls in state appropriations and severe budget cuts. Those attempting to shift the policy axis have
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Benefited from the fear and xenophobia arising after 9/11. Economic recession and high rates of unemployment further erode racial and cultural tolerance as evidenced by Arizona legislation drafted after SB 1070 that places restrictions on ethnic studies (HB 2281) and policies such as that of the Arizona Department of Education that targets those with accents for exclusion from teaching in the public schools. Right wing radio, TV, and Internet bloggers have fanned the flames of emotions and kept immigration in the spotlight. The rancorous environment is ripe for grandstanding and Republican politicians across the country have sought to ride the jingoistic wave into political office. Often lost in the scuffle is the basic wisdom inscribed in Plyer v. Doe that treats children differently and prioritizes the interests of the nation. We are all served by an educated population that is healthier, wealthier and capable of paying higher taxes that will support an aging population (Flores, 2010). In other words the politics of bitterness may be short sighted and less cost effective in addition to being less humane.

References

On working with undocumented students...

When working with diverse student populations, sometimes as faculty and staff in institutions of higher education, we often times do not think of a one of the most vulnerable populations, undocumented students. Many times, these students are dealing with various issues like working two or three jobs while going to school, on a part-time basis or full-time basis to pay for their tuition because they want to improve their lives and contribute to the United States of America. They are also dealing with the constant fear of being deported at any time, with depression and anxiety issues because of their status in this country. Some are also dealing with the emotional stress of wanting to stay in the United States a country that has seen them grow and is dear to their hearts, at the same time that their lives are full of uncertainty. The following are some tips for educators on how to help undocumented students:

- “Know your institutional policies: try to know your state laws, your local and national advocacy organizations that are working for comprehensive immigration reform, and your state and federal representatives.
- If you live in a state that offers in-state tuition for undocumented students, advocate for clear communication of policies to faculty, staff and students, and feeder high schools.
- Work with your college or university foundation to open up existing scholarships to undocumented students and to create additional scholarships specifically for undocumented students.
- Do not provide legal advice, but do connect students to community organizations that can.
- Connect students to on-campus advocates, students groups, and resources; support these groups.”

NOTE: A full list of tips on how to help undocumented students and to read the article where this information appeared you can look up the following article - Diaz-Strong, D., Gomez, C., Luna-Duarte, M.E., & Meiners, E.R. (2010). Dreams deferred and dreams denied. Academe, 6(3), 28-31.
CALL FOR SSSP NOMINATIONS
Nominations are open for candidates to run in the 2011 General Election. We will be electing a President-Elect, a Vice-President Elect, regular and student members of the Board of Directors, members of the Budget, Finance, and Audit Committee, Committee on Committee, Editorial and Publications Committee, and the Membership and Outreach Committee. Please consider nominating a colleague or yourself for one of these offices by completing the online nomination form that can be found at: http://www.sssp1.org/index.cfm/pageid/1082/.
Nominations should include a brief description of the nominee's SSSP involvement and other relevant experiences. The Nominations Committee will meet at the Annual Meeting in Atlanta, GA. All nominations should be submitted prior to July 15, 2010. The Board of Directors will approve the slate of candidates for the 2011 General Election on August 15, 2010. If you have any questions, please contact Stephani Williams, at stephani.williams@gmail.com, with subject (call for nominations) directly.

SEEKING NOMINATIONS FOR SERVING ON THE SSSP AD HOC STRATEGY COMMITTEE
Nominations are open for candidates to run in the 2011 SSSP Ad Hoc Strategy Committee. Please consider nominating a colleague or yourself for one of the various elected positions (e.g., President, Vice-President, Board members, etc.). Please complete an online nomination form at http://www.sssp1.org/index.cfm/pageid/1082/ for each nominee. Nominations for the 2011 SSSP General Election positions are due no later than midnight (EST) on July 15, 2010. If you have any questions, please contact Nancy Mezey at nmezey@monmouth.edu.

SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF SOCIAL PROBLEMS ANNUAL MEETING
If you have not registered for the Society for the Study of Social Problems’ (SSSP) 60th Annual Meeting in Atlanta at the Sheraton Atlanta Hotel, August 13-15, 2010. Please plan to join us for an exciting program of scholarly presentations and films related to this year’s theme “Social Justice Work.” You may find the 2010 preliminary program: www.sssp1.org/index.cfm/m/376
Make your hotel reservations now: www.starwoodmeeting.com/Book/sssp2010
(Reservations must be confirmed by Wednesday, July 21, 2010 to guarantee the SSSP negotiated group rate of $149 plus tax, per night. Reservations received after July 21 or after the room block is filled, whichever comes first, are subject to availability and rate increase).
### Educational Problems Division Sponsored & Co-Sponsored Sessions

**Friday, August 13, 12:30pm**

**Session 23: Race and Public Education I: Racial and Ethnic Inequalities**  
Room: Georgia 9  
Sponsors: Educational Problems Racial and Ethnic Minorities  
Organizer & Presider: Erica Chito Childs, Hunter College/CUNY  

Papers:  
- “Disentangling the Effects of Race, Ethnicity, and Immigration Status on Teachers' Evaluations of Students of Color,” Yasmyn Izirray and Melissa Quintela, Indiana University  
- “Educating the Low-End' Kids: Pedagogy, Engagement, and Discipline in a Public Highschool,” Jane C. Hood, University of New Mexico  
- “Four Dimensions of Social Capital in the Interplay of Ethnicity and Inequality: A Network Perspective,” Baiging Zhang, University of Kentucky

**Friday, August 13, 2:30pm**

**Session 31: Race and Public Education I: Unequal Schooling**  
Room: Georgia 9  
Sponsors: Educational Problems & Racial and Ethnic Minorities  
Organizer, Presider & Discussant: Erica Chito Childs, Hunter College/CUNY  

Papers:  
- “Education Reform: Race and Class as Factors,” Omari Jackson, Wayne State University  
- “Urban Living, Urban Schooling: Class and Race in the Urban Public School,” Shelley M. Kinelberg and Chase M. Billingham, Northeastern University

**Saturday, August 14, 8:00am**

8:00am – 9:40am Sessions  
**THEMATIC Session 42: Education and the New Politics of Desegregation**  
Room: Georgia 2  
Sponsor: Educational Problems  
Organizers: Marla E. Luna-Duarte, University of Illinois at Chicago  
Pamela Ann Quiroz, University of Illinois at Chicago  
Presider: Pamela Ann Quiroz, University of Illinois at Chicago  

Papers:  
- “From Prison to the Military: Latino Students Out of Higher Education,” Erica Meiners and Christina Gomez, Northeastern Illinois University  
- “Student Assignment, School Composition, Teachers and Resources in Wake County and Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools,” Stephanie Southworth, Clemson University  
- “Identity Work Among Teachers of Immigrant and Refugee Students,” Emily R. Cabañas, North Carolina State University  
- “Student Assignment, School Composition, Teachers and Resources in Wake County and Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools,” Stephanie Southworth, Clemson University  
- “Transforming Racist, Sexist and Homophobic Attitudes in the University Classroom,” Jerome Rabow, University of California in Los Angeles

**Sunday, August 15, 8:30am**

8:30am – 10:10am  
**THEMATIC Session 82: Social Justice and Community Learning: Voices from the Academy - THEMATIC**  
Room: Georgia 2  
Sponsors: Community Research and Development Educational Problems  
Racial and Ethnic Minorities  
Organizer, Presider & Discussant: Theo J. Majka, University of Dayton  

Papers:  
- “A Qualitative Study of the Urban Scholars program at UMass Boston: Early Findings,” Jill M. Smith, Brandeis University  
- “Constructing Social Justice,” Anne Marie McLaughlin, University of Calgary  
- “Putting the 'Community' in Community Technology: An Investigation of Youth, Technology, and Community Engagement,” Johanna Pabst, Boston College  
- “The Newspaper Rep of N. Koreans in Kor-Am Comm...” Hien J. Park, University of California, Irvine

**Sunday, August 15, 12:30pm**

**THEMATIC Session 102: Teaching Human Rights as Active Research**  
Room: Georgia 5  
Sponsor: Educational Problems  
Organizer, Presider & Discussant: Otis B. Grant, Indiana University South Bend  

Papers:  
- “Viewing Sex Offenders as Human: Altering Perception on Human Rights in a Sex Crimes Course,” Lisa Anne Ziney and Venezia Michalsen, Montclair State University  
- “Critical Pedagogy and Reflective Intelligence in a Human Rights Course: The Case for Psychodynamic Metacognition,” Otis B. Grant, Indiana University South Bend

**Sunday, August 15, 2:30pm**

**Session 108: Law and Education**  
Room: Georgia 5  
Sponsors: Educational Problems & Law and Society  
Organizer, Presider & Discussant: Emily B. Horowitz, St. Francis College  

Papers:  
- “Gendered Preparations for Teaching: The Impact of Traditional Gender Ideologies on Processes of Teacher Education,” Judson G. Everitt, Loyola University Chicago  
- “Nontraditional Students, Retention Rates, and Deliberative Democracy: Does Process Matter?” David Foster Steele, Tucker Brown and Matthew Kenney, Austin Peay State University  
- “Pedagogy in Court: Student Ratings, Student Rights, and the Regulation of Faculty,” Jordan J. Titus, University of Alaska Fairbanks  
- “Who Deserves Good Schools? Cultural Categories of Worth and Education Reform,” Julie Swando and Emily Meanwell, Indiana University

**Sunday, August 15, 4:30pm**

**THEMATIC Session 115: Educational Challenges to Diversity in Post Civil Rights Society**  
Room: Georgia 5  
Sponsor: Educational Problems  
Organizer & Presider: James Ainsworth, Georgia Sate University  

Papers:  
- “Teaching Race in The Academy: Transformation or Preservation of Racism,” Jerome Rabow, University of California in Los Angeles  
- “Should Everyone Go to College? A Discourse Analysis of the Question of College Access,” Karl J. Jones, Vanderbilt University  
- “Community Research & Action Program”  
- “One of the Guys: Breaking through in a Male Dominated College,” Laurie L. Gordy, Daniel Webster College
Immigration and the Imagination
Debbie Sonu, Assistant Professor of Education, Department of Curriculum & Teaching

Then she spoke to the person next to her. And then, something funny happened, she started to change right in front of me. It was like a video effect where slowly everything dissolves into an alternate reality where everything is slightly different. I felt it happening, and was somehow able to hold onto it – to slow it down and watch it happen, still holding onto the past reality even as the new one set it.

When it was over, she was something else. The immigrant was gone. Her outfit probably came from JC Penny, still unassuming, but now the uniform of a different tribe. She didn’t seem so backwater. I wondered how often I’d made that mistake. I wondered how often people make that mistake about me.

George M.

Framing the dispossessed as a population of social marginality is an act of the imagination -- real in its permutations yet fantastical in its ability to reason and justify. To limit agency by leveraging political and economic legislation, in this case upon the criteria of citizenship, requires a certain belief in a constituted image both overt and subtle, manifested in time and place. In our most recent incarnation of the imagined immigrant, in the backdrop of national anxiety over environmental devastation and financial crises, the nation’s gaze has been set upon Arizona and its punitive action in the face of a national and psychological threat.

Let us explore here the place of imagination in constructing a perception of the immigrant, and secondly, in the design of an essentially imaginary sense of home and homeland. As hinted to above, the imagination is what happened to George M, (personal communication, 2010), a refashioned story with a toppled misinterpretation. A recent piece in the New Yorker by Peter Hessler titled “Go West” tells a similar story about the power of imagination in the case of Chinese citizens in relation to the United States. Hessler’s story illustrates how the Chinese imagine the United States to be. In layering these stories, three separate conjoined stories, what we may learn about the creative ventures of the mind, the human capacity to configure what may be the safe neighbor, the safe home, and the safe, or saved self.

George stepped into the crowded New York subway and entered the melodic din of orchestrated conversations typical to the underground scene. He merged into the united auditory front bombarded by its multiple accents, dialects, languages, voices, and tones. From across the florescent-lit compartment, his eyes landed on a couple whose portrait ignited in his imagination an array of knowledge. He told himself her story using the details of the woman’s Asian face, her seeming “bumpkin smile,” and the “lack of sophistication” in her clothing, the “sort of outfit so many times on the women who worked in the garment district -- faded blue jeans, white tennis shoes, neat but unfashionable button-up top, cap.” Through the power of George’s imagination, he decides that he already knows, until he becomes baffled by her seamless American accent and morphs her into another narrative of another Asian woman in another JC Penney jacket.

In a world of wonder, imaginary stories such as these linger just below the conscious surface of every individual. In some ways they can be construed as critical points of human relation, sometimes even more personal or salient or recurrent than any word spoken communicated among people. In the silent creation of neighbors, imagination becomes freed to wander without ramification or accountability. In such circumstances, a sense of knowing the other is an endeavor to embark on carefully, but it is both necessary and dangerous, knowing that all stories are examples of mistaken identity. Therefore, any legislation such as SB 1070 that appropriates the imagination by assigning the public to prejudicially interrogate national legitimacy is not only a cause for concern over justice and ethics, but also fundamentally flawed and ineffective.

While the stranger may be in part a manifestation of imaginative play for other individuals, so may be the geographical and nostalgic representation of the nation-state as a home and homeland. As an expatriated American living in China, Hessler (2010) writes about the fantastic rumors about the United States that are “always being created in [locale Chinese] people’s minds, and in that sense more personal for them than it was for me” (p. 50). Here, the imagination becomes fixated fantasy, extremes of both good and bad, “combinations of truths and exaggerations,” a frustration, claims Hessler, that there
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was no nuanced perspective. “Are American farmers so rich they use airplanes to plant their crops?” he recalls being asked.

I have always had a looming sense that as an Asian female in a sea of blonde and blue, ethnic normativity would always be beyond reach, and despite my unfamiliarity with South Korea, as I was born and raised in the States, I imagined this homeland would warmly fold me into the natural ebbs and flows of its masses. Feeling simple and naïve, I flew there at the age 17 and exited the plane to see my imagined façade melt into an unexpected foreignness. With little solace in my search for homeland, I was indeed, again, the exotic stranger, both here in South Korea and likewise back on American soil. In a state of disconcerted limbo, I longed for a place that collapsed social and temporal borders and transgressed geographical and physical sites, non-existent in the plane of reality, yet wholly tangible in the corners of the mind. This “does not refer exclusively to the physical place of a home or the country of origin, but includes the desires, dreams, and memories of that space, with the hopes, needs, and wants associated in the imaginings of a home-and-homeland”(Sonu & Moon, 2010). Of course, as seen currently and recurrently in history, the imagination of home, as territorial ego space, has become refashioned to decide inclusivity along the political nation-state line, leading to circumstances that pain the heart and spirit.

I believe imagination plays a critical role in the conceptualization of immigration and the immigrant. In a country with such ethnic diversity, the creative capacity to dream interpersonal relationships strips bare the core beliefs one individual may hold upon and against another. In the case of George, a more intimate encounter with sound dissolved his immigrant impressions; for Hessler, the imagination recursively established a fantastic America for local Chinese; for me, I mourned the loss of a homeland, once imagined, yet now I exist anew. All three narratives trouble the predetermination written into SB 1070 and instead call for focus on the shifting dunes of the imagination in shaping immigration. This requires a move away from the fixed rigidity of perceived identity. Such profiling has led to mothers deported and taken away from their children, teachers under fire for speaking with accents, and whole sections of U.S. history torn from school textbooks. In a present time when issues of tragedy, trauma, and outright injustice rise to visible status, the possibility to begin examining such questions, to understand how we relate to the other, and how we can do so in ways more just and ethical become not only more urgent, but also more accessible. Yet, as George M. learned, the precondition for this kind of education is dependent on the capacity to listen to the stories of others, and maybe even to lay our imaginations to rest.
JOBS, JOBS, JOBS...

**Professor & Director, Center for Women & Work**
School of Management and Labor Relations
Labor Studies and Employment Relations Department
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Rutgers University, School of Management and Labor Relations, invites applications for a senior scholar and Director, Center for Women and Work, to begin fall 2011. Interested candidates should send a cover letter, vita, and the names and contact information for three references to Professor Adrienne Eaton, SMLR, Rutgers University, 50 Labor Center Way, New Brunswick, New Jersey, 08901 or eaton@work.rutgers.edu. We will begin to review applications October 15, 2010; however, applications will be accepted until the position is filled.

**Postal Address:** University Human Resources
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
56 Bevier Road
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8010

**Phone:** 732-445-3020

**Fax:** 732-445-3407

**Application Information**
Joe Wert, Dean
School of Social Sciences
Indiana University Southeast
4201 Grant Line Road
New Albany, IN 47150

---

**Sociologist/Director of Applied Research and Education Center**
Indiana University Southeast (IUS) is pleased to announce a search for a Sociologist/Director of its Applied Research and Education Center (AREC) ([http://www.ius.edu/arec](http://www.ius.edu/arec)).

Review of candidates will begin on October 1 and continue until the position is filled. Please send a letter of interest indicating your qualifications for the position as well as an up to date curriculum vitae, official undergraduate and graduate transcripts, sample of scholarly writing, evidence of teaching success, and at least 3 letters of reference to:

**Mail: Applications**

Postal Address:
University Human Resources
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
56 Bevier Road
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8010

**Phone:** 732-445-3020

**Fax:** 732-445-3407

**Application Information**
Joe Wert, Dean
School of Social Sciences
Indiana University Southeast
4201 Grant Line Road
New Albany, IN 47150

---

**Assistant Professor, Legal Studies - Sociology, Social Work & Criminal Justice**

Assistant Professor, Legal Studies - Sociology, Social Work & Criminal Justice; Full-time; Tenure-track.

**How to Apply:** Refer to the HR Website at: [https://jobs.lamar.edu](https://jobs.lamar.edu), click on Search Postings. Read and follow all instructions on how to apply. Application materials must be submitted online unless otherwise noted.

**Postal Address:**
Human Resources
Lamar University
P. O. Box 11127
Beaumont, TX 77710

**Phone:** (409) 880-8375

**Fax:** (409) 880-8375

---

**For more information regarding the Educational Problems Division, please contact:**

Pamela Anne Quiroz, Ph.D.
Professor of Educational Policy Studies & Sociology
University of Illinois-Chicago
Division Chair, 2009-2011
paquiroz@uic.edu

Maria E. Luna-Duarte,
Editor Educational Problems Division Newsletter
Doctoral Student, Educational Policy Studies
University of Illinois-Chicago
mlunad2@uic.edu

Check out the SSSP website at [www.sssp1.org](http://www.sssp1.org) for employment information, professional development, scholarship and fellowship opportunities, member news and resources, etc.