ITEM 1 – CALL TO ORDER
Meeting was called to order by Society President Heather M. Dalmage.

ITEM 2 – ADOPT (REVISE) AGENDA
The agenda was adopted as presented.

ITEM 3 – REVIEW OF THE BY-LAWS CHANGES AND ANY PROPOSALS FOR FURTHER CHANGE
By-Laws Chair Valerie Leiter presented the By-Laws changes from the past year, and there was one (1) proposed change, which created a new section of the By-Laws creating a clear process for the resolutions process. This voted into effect by the Society membership for the 2019 Society election. There were no proposed future By-Laws changes pending.

ITEM 4 – REPORT FROM THE VICE-PRESIDENT AND COMMITTEE ON SOCIAL ACTION CHAIR, INCLUDING DISCUSSION IN FAVOR OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS
The proposed resolutions were compiled by Daina Cheyenne Harvey, SSSP Vice-President and Chair, Committee on Social Action. On 6/15/20 the resolutions were posted to the SSSP website, and members were able to make electronic comments until 7/15/20.

At this meeting, thirty (30) minutes were allocated to a discussion in favor of or in opposition to all proposed resolutions. At this juncture, resolutions could not be modified. The purpose of the discussion was to seek clarification or to allow efforts to convince others to vote for or against the resolution. The discussion was moderated by the Vice-President, with the assistance of the Executive Officer. The Vice-President utilized the Q & A function of the Zoom system to receive comments, reading them aloud in the order in which they were received.

At the conclusion of the Society’s Annual Business Meeting, members will be able to vote online for or against each resolution, or abstain. The voting period will be two weeks. A resolution will pass if two-thirds of the members voting online by the deadline vote in favor of the resolution. The final results of the votes shall be reported to the Committee on Social Action and the Board of Directors, and published as early as possible on the Society’s website.

The details of the three (3) proposed resolutions presented to the membership are as follows.

+++++++++

RESOLUTION 1: Expression of Gratitude

Submitted by: SSSP Administrative Office

While the 2020 SSSP Annual Meeting in San Francisco was cancelled because of the COVID-19 pandemic, we still need to express our sincere appreciation to all of the officers, committee chairs, and members whose efforts help maintain the vitality of the Society for the Study of Social Problems (SSSP). First, we thank President Heather M. Dalmage for her superb leadership in developing the 70th Annual Meeting and its theme: Bringing the Hope Back In: Sociological Imagination and Dreaming Transformation and also in navigating unchartered water to move the Society forward during the COVID-19 crisis. We also
thank this year’s Program Committee members Angie K. Beeman and Doug Meyer and especially the Program Committee Co-Chairs, Tsedale M. Melaku and Barbara Katz Rothman, for putting together an outstanding program that was unfortunately unable to come to fruition the way it had been envisioned; and the Local Arrangements Committee Chair, Valerie Francisco-Menchavez, and her committee, Orly Clerge and Theresa Ysabel Rocha Beardall. We thank the Park Central Hotel for working with us up to our cancellation, and we particularly want to recognize the efforts made by Emily Cogswell, Senior Convention Services Manager and Troy Smith, Director of Group Sales.

The Society wishes to express its gratitude to Past President Nancy J. Mezey for her years of leadership; Vice-President Daina Cheyenne Harvey for managing the resolutions process; Glenn W. Muschert for his service as Secretary; and Susan M. Carlson for her service as Treasurer.

The Society also thanks Corey Dolgon, President-Elect; Pamela Anne Quiroz, Vice-President-Elect; Board of Directors: Yvonne A. Braun, Matthew W. Hughey, Debbie A. Potter, Giovanna Follo, Bhoomi K. Thakore, outgoing members Maralee Mayberry, Fernando I. Rivera, student representatives of the Board Apoorva Ghosh (outgoing) and Melissa R. Maxey, Kristen M. Budd, Chairperson of the Council of the Divisions; Annulla Linders and Earl Wright II, Co-Editors of Social Problems; Heather Dillaway, outgoing Budget, Finance, and Audit Committee Chair and committee members Susan M. Carlson, Louis Edgar Esparza, and David J. Luke; Shirley A. Jackson, Editorial and Publications Committee Chair and committee members Loretta E. Bass (outgoing), Valerie Leiter (outgoing), David G. Embrick, Rogelio Saenz, A. Javier Treviño, Heather Dillaway (outgoing), Pamela Anne Quiroz, Annulla Linders, and Earl Wright II; and the University of Tennessee and the Department of Sociology for hosting the SSSP Administrative Office. A special thanks to the Mansfield Institute for Social Justice at Roosevelt University for their financial contribution to program activities and Oxford University Press for its financial contribution to the 2020 ½-day Virtual Annual Meeting.

The Society wishes to thank Executive Officer Héctor L. Delgado, Administrative Officer & Meeting Manager Michele Smith Koontz, Assistant to the Administrative Officer Kelsey Whitaker, Information Technology Specialist Rachel Cogburn, Graduate Research Associate & Webmaster Zaina Shams and the leaders of the 23 Divisions for continuing to make the Society run and be successful in fulfilling its mission year in and year out.

ACTION: By an electronic vote by the membership, taking place for a period of two (2) weeks following the virtual Annual Business Meeting, Resolution #1 was ACCEPTED. Vote Details: Yes 390; No 1; Abstain 19; Did Not Vote 807.

++++++++++

RESOLUTION 2: Resolution to Support Improved Conditions for Contingent/Adjunct or Non-Tenure Track Faculty

Submitted by: Keith Johnson and Gillian Niebrugge-Brantley

1. Whereas, there has been a shift in higher education over the last half-century so that “tenure system faculty are now a privileged minority” (Report of the ASA Task Force 2019: 6—hereafter Task Force) and over 50% of instructors in public and private institutions of higher education are now “contingent” or “adjunct” faculty (GAO 2017: 15, n32)—a condition so pervasive that one organization representing these instructors is titled “The New Faculty Majority.” This shift has been accompanied and achieved by a policy of deliberately ignoring the crucial role and plight of non-tenure track faculty in higher education today. One example of this is the confusing range of titles for these faculty, that are frequently employed in contradictory ways among institutions.
2. Whereas, what we do know about the treatment of these faculty is that it is not good: it is detrimental to the state of higher education and the workers who labor as non-tenure track faculty. Non-tenure track faculty workers are typically employed under the following conditions, all of which create a condition of precarity:

a. low salaries— “Overall, part-time faculty respondents report low compensation rates across all institutional categories. Toutkoushian and Bellas (2003) found that part-time faculty earn approximately 60% less than comparable full-time faculty in institutional salary when expressed on an hourly basis.” (Task Force 2019: 14)

b. absence of benefits— “Benefits are a particular problem for part-time faculty. The CAW survey (2012) found that only 22% of contingent faculty respondents had access to health insurance coverage through their academic employer. The American Federation of Teachers offered similar findings in a 2010 survey, which found that 28% of part-time faculty had health coverage through their academic employment. “Health insurance benefits appear to be linked with course load,” the latter survey found. “Just 11 percent of those who teach only one course receive employer health benefits, while 26 percent of those who teach two courses and 39 percent who teach three courses or more receive benefits.” (AFT 2010: 14) (Task Force 2019: 14)

c. job security is minimal, contracts are typically issued only around the start of a term, if at all; renewal is typically not guaranteed; (Task Force 2019: 15)

d. non-tenure track faculty are typically excluded from participation in governance at the institution and from professional development opportunities; (Task Force 2019: 21)

e. office space ranges from shared to non-existent; (Task Force 2019: 21)

f. computers and copying facilities are typically sub-standard and restricted. (Task Force 2019: 21)

3. Whereas, this combination of conditions is detrimental to the educational experience, leading to:

a. lack of time to prepare syllabi and all the problems attendant on that first difficulty; (Task Force 2019: 18)

b. being forced to order texts at the last moment; (Task Force 2019: 19)

c. working without adequate access to computer, copying, and library facilities; (Task Force 2019: 18)

d. working without orientation to governing policies for student conduct; (Task Force 2019: 19)

e. working around a substandard office situation (frequently having to meet students in coffee shops or one’s car); (Task Force 2019: 20-21)

f. having to teach at more than one institution in order to earn a living wage; (Task Force 2019: 18, 21)

g. going unrewarded, unaided, and unrecognized for one’s own scholarly achievements. (Task Force 2019: 21-22)

4. Whereas, because this condition of precarity, maintained by low salaries and lack of job security, gives administrators more flexibility in terms of costs and scheduling, administrators have little interest in improving the situation for non-tenure track faculty, which frequently function as part of the institution’s financial margin.
5. **Therefore, be it resolved** that SSSP request *US News and World Report*, in its widely used rankings and assessment of colleges and universities in the United States, to include as a criterion how well institutions provide for non-tenure track faculty. The following criteria should be included as components of the final evaluation:

   a. qualifications of the non-tenure track faculty as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution;
   
   b. salaries as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution;
   
   c. benefits as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution;
   
   d. inclusion in governance as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution;
   
   e. office space and access to technology and library resources as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution;
   
   f. professional development opportunities as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution;
   
   g. job security as compared with tenure-system faculty at the institution.

Members of SSSP would be happy to be available to editors to help in the production of this new criteria.

**ACTION:** By an electronic vote by the membership, taking place for a period of two (2) weeks following the virtual Annual Business Meeting, Resolution #2 was ACCEPTED. Vote Details: Yes 372; No 7; Abstain 31; Did Not Vote 807.

++++++++++

**RESOLUTION 3: Resolution on the Global Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions Movement (BDS)**

*Submitted by: Melissa F. Weiner, Johnny Eric Williams, Timothy Black, Ashley Doane, Corey Dolgon, David Embrick, Kasey Henricks, Andrea Miller, Walda Katz-Fishman, and Reuben Roth*

**Whereas**, the vast majority of Palestinian civil society organizations have called on international civil, academic, and cultural communities to end complicity in Israel’s decades-old violations of Palestinian rights and to engage in non-violent pressure on Israel until it complies with international law and universal principles of human rights,\(^1\) by meeting three demands:

1) Ending its occupation and colonization of all Arab lands [occupied in 1967] and dismantling the Wall,

2) Recognizing the fundamental rights of the Arab-Palestinian citizens of Israel to full equality;

And

3) Respecting, protecting and promoting the rights of Palestinian refugees to return to their homes and properties as stipulated in UN resolution 194,\(^2\)

And

**Whereas**, Palestinian academics routinely experience academic freedom violations in the form of prohibitions by the State of Israel from attending conferences, completing research, traveling outside of Palestine to earn degrees, and acquiring the most recent publications in the form of journals and books,\(^3\)
And

Whereas, the State of Israel routinely violates the academic freedom of Palestinian students through harassment on their way to school at checkpoints and elsewhere in public, attacks on schools using tear gas and rubber bullets, the bombing of higher educational institutions, raids of schools and arrests of faculty and students, invasion of campuses and killing of Palestinian students, arresting and detaining students, and defunding of schools created by the UN Relief and Works Agency for Palestine (UNRWA),

And

Whereas, some Israeli universities exist, in part or in full, on stolen Palestinian land in the occupied West Bank, including East Jerusalem, in violation of international law,

And

Whereas, “Not a single Israeli academic institution has petitioned the Israeli government to protect Palestinian rights to education or to cease interference with and destruction of Palestinian schools and colleges... A boycott of academic institutions is the strongest message possible that Israel cannot claim normality and ask to be considered in the fold of democratic societies while maintaining an apartheid state and a brutal occupation.”

And

Whereas, Palestinians living in Israel experience limits to their academic freedom through a racially segregated education system with overcrowded, underfunded, and fewer schools, fewer kindergartens, and fewer Special Education programs for their children. This has resulted in Palestinian children in Israel experiencing higher dropout rates and lower pass rates for national exams required for entrance to higher education. Israeli courts have “never found the state to be in violation of the law or ordered it to end discriminatory practices,”

And

Whereas, Israeli academic institutions are a critical element of the ideological and institutional scaffolding of Israel’s regime of occupation, colonialism, and apartheid through the production of policies, programs, and technologies that enable and sustain these forms of oppression,

And

Whereas, Israeli military authorities have closed Palestinian universities and destroyed cultural and academic institutions,

And

Whereas, scholars, students, and student groups addressing human rights violations in Palestine and Israel are routinely harassed at US colleges and universities,

And

Whereas, the US Government denies Palestinian students visas to study in the US,

And

Whereas, it is increasingly difficult for international academics (including US citizens) to be admitted into the occupied Palestinian territory as entry is dependent on Israeli approval and are repeatedly denied
entry by Israeli military authorities, thereby severely compromising Palestinian universities’ ability to employ international faculty.\textsuperscript{12}  

And  

**Whereas**, US tax dollars support the military repression and oppression of Palestinians in Israel, the occupied West Bank and Gaza.\textsuperscript{13}  

And  

**Whereas**, Palestinian civil society organizations have called on academic and professional organizations to engage in a boycott of Israeli universities for their complicity in Palestinian oppression.\textsuperscript{14}  

And  

**Whereas**, the SSSP mission statement calls on us “to foster higher quality of life, social welfare, and positive social relations in society and the global community and to undertake any activity related thereto or necessary or desirable for the accomplishment of the foregoing purposes” alongside “strict adherence... to the protection of the right to engage in intellectual debates of all types without fear of censorship or retaliation,”\textsuperscript{15}  

And  

**Whereas**, “SSSP is engaged in multiple avenues of social justice research and action” and “regularly participates in calls to action on various social justice issues,”\textsuperscript{16}  

And  

**Whereas**, SSSP protects the rights of all scholars, students and faculty, to address the human rights violations in their scholarship and speak out against it without experiencing harassment, bullying, or consequences to their employment, scholarship, or rights to free speech,  

And  

**Whereas**, sociologists engaged in critical race and systemic white racism scholarship recognize the institutionalized power relations and structural violence\textsuperscript{12} inherent in the occupation as diametrically opposed to full equality in academia and academic freedom,  

**THEREFORE, be it resolved**, that the Society for the Study of Social Problems endorses the call for a boycott of complicit Israeli academic institutions articulated by our Palestinian colleagues\textsuperscript{18} until they end all forms of complicity in Israel’s grave human rights violations and publicly distance themselves from these violations,  

And  

**Be it also resolved**, that SSSP send a letter to the PACBI (Palestinian Campaign for the Academic and Cultural Boycott of Israel) and USACBI (US Academic and Cultural Boycott of Israel) organizations regarding our support of their resolution with ours.\textsuperscript{19}  

And  

**Be it also resolved**, that SSSP publicize these BDS Resolutions on the SSSP webpage,  

And
Be it also resolved, “recognizing that different actions may be feasible and appropriate under the many different academic and political circumstances that pertain in US institutions,” SSSP will urge its members to undertake as many of the following initiatives as possible:

1. Support Palestinian academic and cultural institutions directly without requiring them to partner with Israeli counterparts as an explicit or implicit condition for such support;

2. Encourage your university and college administrations to institute funding for scholarships and fellowships for Palestinian students;

3. Refrain from participation in any form of academic and cultural cooperation, collaboration or joint projects with complicit Israeli institutions;

4. Promote divestment and disinvestment from Israel by academic institutions, and place pressure on your own institution to suspend all ties with Israeli universities, including collaborative projects, study abroad, funding and exchanges.20

---


2 For the text of UN Resolution 194 see: https://undocs.org/A/RES/194%20(III).


5 Hebrew University sits on land illegally acquired in 1968 while Ariel University is located in the illegal settlement of Ariel in the West Bank.


15 https://www.sssp1.org/index.cfm/m/453/locationSectionId/0/Who_We_Are
16 https://www.sssp1.org/index.cfm/m/480/locationSectionId/0/Action_and_Activism

18 https://bdsmovement.net/pacbi/pacbi-call
19 Letter to be sent to: pacbi@bdsmovement.net and usacbi@usacbi.org.

20 https://usacbi.org/mission-statement/
ACTION: By an electronic vote by the membership, taking place for a period of two (2) weeks following the virtual Annual Business Meeting, Resolution #3 was NOT ACCEPTED. Vote Details: Yes 217; No 142; Abstain 51; Did Not Vote 807.

ITEM 5 – REVIEW OF THE MINUTES FROM LAST YEAR’S MEETING
Secretary Glenn Muschert presented the minutes of the 2019 Society Business Meeting.

ITEM 6 – REPORT FROM THE SECRETARY SUMMARIZING 2019-2020 BOARD ACTIONS
Secretary Glenn Muschert summarized the 2019-2020 Board Actions.

ITEM 7 – REPORT FROM THE EDITOR OF SOCIAL PROBLEMS
Annulla Linders, Editor of Social Problems, reported on the state of the Society’s journal over the past year. The journal is running fine, with thanks for various persons who make the journal operation. Time from submission to decision has declined from c. 6 months on average to c. 3.5 months.

ITEM 8 – REPORT FROM THE TREASURER ON THE FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE SOCIETY
Susan M. Carlson, Treasurer reported on the financial state of the Society is strong. The year 2019 was a very good year for the Society, and the auditors for 2019 gave the Society a clean bill of health, commenting that the SSSP was in very good financial shape compared to many other not-for-profit organizations. Things changed in 2020, as the COVID-19 pandemic necessitated the cancelation of the 2020 meetings, which is a major revenue stream for the Society. For 2020, there is a projected $90,000 budget deficit, which in the short term, the Society should be able to weather. However, the impact of a continuing pandemic well into 2021 is a larger concern for the Society’s financial state. A predicted $232,000 budget deficit in 2021 is the estimated worst-case scenario. Whether or not this scenario plays out depends on the holding of a 2021 annual meeting, which of course in turn depends on the evolving pandemic. She mentioned that there have already been discussions of exploring new revenue streams. The Treasurer thanked those present for the honor of serving in such capacity.

ITEM 9 – REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Héctor L. Delgado presented the report from the Executive Officer (EO), beginning with a statement of gratitude for the opportunity to serve. The EO offered a note of appreciation to those who contribute to the Society. The EO thanks the staff in the Administrative Office. The EO described the Society’s work in pursuit of social justice. The EO expressed condolences to those whose lives were touched by COVID-19. The biggest development was the cancellation of the 2020 annual meeting. EO commented that the journal Social Problems is running well, and thanked the editors. The EO mentioned that the Board of Directors has been meeting virtually for several months and that membership is down, which is a function of the cancellation of the 2020 meeting. On the resolutions, the EO clarified that the new process allows those who are members, regardless of whether they attend the business meeting, to vote on the resolutions.

ITEM 10 – REPORT FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER AND MEETING MANAGER
Michele Koontz presented the report from the Administrative Officer (AO) and Meeting Manager (MM). The AO mentioned the work of those involved in the development of the program for the 2020 annual meetings, which unfortunately had to be canceled due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The SSSP was able to cancel the contract for the 2020 meetings. In lieu of the planned meeting, the SSSP was able to offer an abbreviated virtual meeting. For the future, the Board has proposed a virtual component for the 2021 meeting, with each division sponsoring one (1) virtual session and the program committee sponsoring
five (5) virtual sessions. The AO mentioned with gratitude the work of those who assist in the operation of the SSSP and in making the meetings possible.

ITEM 11 – ANY OTHER BUSINESS
No other business was proposed.

ITEM 12 – INTRODUCTION OF THE NEW PRESIDENT AND VIRTUALLY PASSING OF THE GAVEL
Society President Heather M. Dalmage (2019-2020) introduced rising President Corey Dolgon (2020-2021), and passed the gavel.

ITEM 13 – NEW PRESIDENT ANNOUNCES THE SITE, DATE, AND THEME FOR THE 2021 MEETING
Society President Corey Dolgon announced that the 2021 Society for the Study of Social Problems annual meeting will take place in Chicago on August 6 to 8, 2021. The theme for the meeting will be “Revolutionary Sociology: Truth, Healing, Reparations and Restructuring.” The President acknowledged the work already undertaken by the 2021 Program Committee, and clarified the program theme which is based on two (2) threads: 1) To explore how revolutionary a professional association can be, while still maintaining stability and support for its members as a professional association. 2) How to align with- or respond to the rise of liberation movements around the world.

ITEM 14 – ADJOURNMENT OF THE BUSINESS MEETING
There being no further business, the 2020 business meeting was adjourned by President Corey Dolgon.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Glenn W. Muschert, SSSP Secretary