

Social Problems

Annual Report

July 15, 2022



University of Cincinnati

Department of Sociology
1002 Crosley Tower, 301 Clifton Ct.
Cincinnati, OH 45221-0378

(513) 556-4710
socialproblems@uc.edu
academic.oup.com/socpro



The Official Journal of the Society for the Study of Social Problems



Social Problems

The Official Journal of the Society for the Study of Social Problems

Annulla Linders, Co-Editor
Earl Wright II, Co-Editor
Derrick Brooms, Co-Editor

July 15, 2022

To: SSSP Board of Directors, Publications Committee, Associate Editors, Editorial Board
From: Annulla Linders, Earl Wright II, and Derrick Brooms, Co-Editors
Re: *Social Problems* Annual Report, 2022

First things first: our work, including this report, would not be possible without help from a number of people, including especially our graduate assistants, which include our current (Kyle Shupe) and former (Shaontá Allen) Managing Editor, and our current (Anthony Stone and Kierra Toney) and former (Marcus Brooks) Assistant Editors.

At SSSP, we continuously rely on the expertise (and memory!) of Michele Koontz and Hector Delgado (we'll miss you when you retire, Hector!). At OUP, this past year we have relied on and been supported by Mireille Yanow and Sarah Levine and their teams in production and marketing. A special thanks to the Social Media Committee led by Mi'Chael Wright (current) and Trenton Haltom (former), and to Cindy Carlton-Ford, our long-time Production Editor and English-language magician who is now part of the OUP copy-editing team. We also take this opportunity to express our deep appreciation for the work done by our Associate Editors, Advisory Board members, and members of the Student Social media Committee members. We could not have done this without you!

The Report

This report provides a detailed overview of submissions, decisions, and the review process. We continue the practice of placing our work in a historical perspective (going back about 10 years when feasible). Appendix 1 includes more details about the global regions from which we receive papers, the methodological approach (quantitative and qualitative) of submissions, and general topic areas of submissions. The (comparative)

data we present in the tables cover calendar years whereas team-related updates cover academic years.

The Team

Even though Earl and Anna continue as co-editors, a new term means a new team. Derrick Brooms joined us as a co-editor in the summer of 2021, we have assembled a new team of Associate and Advisory Editors, we have replaced the Student Board with a 6-member Social Media Committee, and we have welcomed two new graduate assistants. See appendix 2 for a current listing of the *Social Problems* team. As we noted last year, the position of Production Editor, which was held by Cindy Carlton-Ford since we took over as co-editors, has been eliminated. But, to our great advantage, Cindy has since joined the OUP team of copy editors and, as an expert of the ASA style guide, continues to work on *Social Problems* papers.

News

We are proud to report that *Social Problems* has shot up in the ranking of sociology journals, from a rank of 32 (of 149) in 2020 to 10 (of 148) in 2021 (see OUP's report for more detail). This increase is reflected in the following Impact Factor trajectory:

- 2021 Impact Factor: 5.397
- 2020 Impact Factor: 3.115
- 2019 Impact Factor: 2.345
- 2018 Impact Factor: 2.789

<https://oair.org/j.php?j=1533-8533>

The most important reason for this development, we think, is that authors keep sending us strong and innovative papers that have an impact on the field. But we also want to acknowledge the work OUP does to produce and market our papers. Considering that *Social Forces*, another OUP journal, has similarly risen in the ranks, we are clearly in good publisher-hands.

Work During the Year

By now, everyone on our team is well-versed in the online submission system and our editorial routines, which means that the day-to-day running of the journal goes very smoothly. This is so despite the fact that Earl (Rhodes College), Derrick (University of Tennessee) and Anna (University of Cincinnati) work at different institutions. With the

help of our graduate assistants, we have set up a series of google docs which allow us to communicate in real time about the manuscripts we receive.

We continue working on the goal of shortening the time from submission to decision. After a sharp decrease when we first took over as editors (from 6 months to 4 months), the pace of change has slowed, but we continue to work towards an average of 3 months. We also work to increase the number of submissions by making the journal increasingly attractive to prospective authors – the two most important factors here, we think, are rank (which is increasing), time to decision (which is decreasing), and buzz around our papers (we thank the Social Media Committee led by Mi'Chael Wright, and OUP's marketing division, for ensuring that people talk about our papers). More detail about this work can be found in the reports by OUP and the Social Media Committee.

We posted two Author-Attic videos in 2021 (Maryann Erigha and Sandra Barnes) and have posted one so far in 2022 (Deadrick Williams). The latest Virtual Issue (curated by SunAh Laybourne) dealt with Anti-Asian and Asian American Violence and Discrimination. Before that, James Thomas curated a Virtual Issue on White Nationalism. The next Virtual Issue, to be released this summer, addresses Climate, Disasters, Environment and Justice, and is curated by Anita Bledsoe-Gardner and DeMond Miller. Our first special issue, on work inspired by W. E. B Du Bois and co-edited by Earl Wright II and Aldon Morris was published in May of 2021. A second special issue, on the Lives (and Futures) of Black Children, guest-edited by Derrick Brooms and Marcelle Medford, is well under-way. A third special issue, on Racism of Omission, guest-edited by Victor Ray, Rory Kramer, and Eduardo Bonilla-Silva, is currently in the review stage. This is the last special issue we will pursue during our editorial term.

We want to remind you that, in our proposal to become editors of Social Problems, we (Earl and Anna) said that we wanted to publish more papers. That we are doing, with the help of an increased page-budget from OUP, which allows us to publish up to 15 papers per issue.

Submissions

During our first term as editors, we saw an increase in submissions (from 289 in 2018 to 356 in 2020). The pandemic did not initially slow the pace of submission, on the contrary, but once most universities went back to in-person teaching in the fall of 2021 we noticed a drop in submissions. The slower pace has continued into 2022, but we think (hope) it is part of the overall pandemic-related impact on academic work. See [Table 1](#).

Table 1: Total Submissions by Year, 2010-2022¹

	New Submissions	Revised Submissions²	Total Submissions
2010	287	70	357
2011	262	58	320
2012	329	113	442
2013	327	101	428
2014	323	63	386
2015	375	114	489
2016	335	155	490
2017	295	43	338
2018	289	58	349
2019	344	142	516
2020	356	104	460
2021	324	75	399
2022³	144	1	145

¹ Papers submitted to special issues are excluded from the table.

² Revised submissions include revised manuscripts (.R1s) and conditional accepts (.R2s)

³ Data for 2022 captures the period from January 1st to June 30th

Decisions

Table 2 provides an overview of the distribution of final decisions on **original** manuscripts submitted since 2010. Overall, the table shows that the acceptance rate, while fluctuating from year-to-year, is still fairly stable over time (ranging from 5% to 18%, with an average of about 10-15%). Both the deflection and rejection rates vary over time and probably capture variations in editorial preferences rather than the quality of papers submitted.

Table 2: Final Decisions on Original Manuscripts by Year First Submitted, 2010-2022¹

	MS without Decisions²	Deflect	Reject	Accept	Total
2010	10	53 (53%)	102 (36%)	22 (7.7%)	287
2011	3	101 (38%)	125 (48%)	33 (12.6%)	262
2012	1	88 (27%)	211 (64%)	29 (8.8%)	329
2013	3	105 (32%)	203 (62%)	17 (5.2%)	327
2014	7	93 (29%)	180 (56%)	43 (13.3%)	323
2015	8	80 (21%)	232 (62%)	55 (15%)	375
2016	2	120 (36%)	197 (59%)	18 (5%)	335
2017	0	120 (41%)	150 (51%)	25 (8%)	295
2018	1	110 (38%)	109 (38%)	69 (24%) ³	289
2019	4	111 (32%)	169 (50%)	60 (18%)	344
2020	3	125 (35%)	162 (45.5%)	66 (18.5%)	356
2021	19	103 (32%)	158 (49%)	43 (13%)	324 ⁵
2022⁴	76	38 (26%)	30 (21%)	--	144 ⁵

¹ Counts all MS decisions by year they were originally submitted, regardless of the year a final decision was made on the manuscript. Papers submitted to special issues are not included.

² Manuscripts without a decision include MS that are still under review, received Revise & Resubmit decisions but were never resubmitted, were unsubmitted and never resubmitted, or did not complete the review process for another reason.

³ The spike in the number of accepted manuscripts in 2018 is linked in various ways to the transition of editors.

⁴ 2022 includes manuscripts submitted between January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022.

⁵ Data for these years are preliminary as a number of manuscripts are still in process or under review.

Looking at the final disposition of **revised** manuscripts, [Table 3](#) shows fairly extensive variation regarding the final decision, with both reject and accept decisions ranging from about 30% to 90%. Since we took over as editors, the proportion of revised manuscripts that are rejected has sharply declined. This is a result of our ambition to only extend an invitation to revise-and-resubmit to papers we think have a high likelihood of receiving an enthusiastic publish recommendation from the reviewers.

	MS without Decisions¹	Reject	Accept	Total²
2010	0	15 (42%)	21 (58%)	36
2011	0	51 (61%)	32 (39%)	83
2012	0	54 (65%)	29 (35%)	83
2013	1	17 (49%)	17 (49%)	35
2014	0	25 (37%)	43 (63%)	68
2015	1	71 (56%)	55 (43%)	127
2016	0	29 (62%)	18 (38%)	47
2017	1	10 (28%)	25 (69%)	36
2018	1	10 (13%)	69 (86%)	80
2019	2	9 (12%)	65 (86%)	76
2020	0	6 (8%)	66 (92%)	72
2021	11	2 (4%)	41 (76%)	54
2022	1	--	--	1

¹ Manuscripts without decision primarily include papers that are still under review.

² Revised (.R1s) and conditionally accepted (.R2s) manuscripts are only included once, so manuscripts are not double counted.

Additional submission data are available in Appendix 1, including manuscript topic areas, methods of submitted papers, and countries of submitting authors.

Time from Submission to Decision

One of our main goals is to keep decreasing the time from submission to decision for manuscripts we send out for review. The initial sharp drop in the time from submission to decision of **original** manuscripts was accomplished by simplifying the involvement of the student board in the process. Since we eliminated the student board in 2020, we have developed a new system that, we hope, will reduce the time further (but we had

Covid-related delays in the review process in the latter half of 2020 and much of 2021). [Table 4](#) shows that we have reduced the average time by more than 2 months.

Table 4: Average Time from Original Submission to First Decision (in Days), 2015-2022¹

	Deflect	Reject	Revise & Resubmit
2015	29 days	164 days	168 days
2016	43 days	160 days	164 days
2017	51 days	185 days	183 days
2018	36 days	137 days	141 days
2019	28 days	122 days	127 days
2020	20 days	112 days	119 days
2021	22 days	114 days	110 days
2022²	19 days	96 days	103 days

¹ Almost no manuscripts are accepted after the first round of reviews (except the Presidential Address), so accept decisions are not included here. Papers submitted to special issues are not included.

² Data for 2022 covers the period from January 1st to June 30th.

We find a similar pattern for time from submission to decision of **revised** papers (see [Table 5](#)).

Table 5: Average Time from R&R Submission to Final Decision (in Days), 2015-2022¹

	Reject	Conditional Accept	Accept
2015	142 days	159 days	113 days
2016	139 days	137 days	99 days
2017	140 days	190 days	122 days
2018	105 days	104 days	57 days
2019	88 days	84 days	44 days
2020	96 days	75 days	46 days
2021	137 days	76 days	48 days
2022²	--	--	--

¹ As different editors have used the second Revise & Resubmit decision (.R2s) in different ways, we only include data for the years during which editors have used it as a conditional accept decision.

² As of June 30th, all currently submitted revised manuscripts are under external review.

Our aim is to reduce the average time from submission to decision to about 3 months. Given the review delays caused by the pandemic conditions (reviewers frequently invoke COVID-related reasons for delays) we are not yet able to determine if the changes we implemented during the past few years will result in a stable reduction of the time from submission to decision. But we hope so. To determine where, if anywhere, there is time to cut, we have calculated the time papers spend in internal processing and in external review. [Table 6](#) shows that we have cut the time in both respects by more than one month each.

Table 6: Average Time from Submission to Decision, 2015-2022

	Average Time (in Days) in Internal Processing¹	Average Time (in Days) in External Review²
2015	69 days	96 days
2016	81 days	79 days
2017	95 days	89 days
2018	46 days	75 days
2019	43 days	63 days
2020	45 days	62 days
2021	40 days	67 days
2022³	36 days	53 days

¹ Time in internal processing captures the amount of time from submission to the first reviewer invitation, and from our receipt of the final review to the decision on the manuscript. Papers submitted to special issues are not included in this table.

² Time in external review captures the time from when the first reviewer is invited to when the last review is submitted. This includes both original and revised (.R1) submissions.

³ Data for 2022 covers the period from January 1st to June 30th.

The reduction in time papers spend in external review is the result of a few different strategies. First, and most immediately, we no longer involve the student board in the selection of reviewers. Second, we now ask reviewers to submit their review in 5 weeks (instead 6 weeks) (see [Table 7](#)). Third, have tried (with only modest success, especially after COVID) to reduce the number of asks required to secure 3 reviews (see [Table 8](#)).

When it comes to internal processing, the most important change is—again—the elimination of the student board. Given that we are now three co-editors who look at every paper we receive, there is an increased risk of internal delays. We have tried to preempt those with a series of minor changes to our routines that, so far, seem to have worked.

Table 7: Average Time for Reviewers to Complete Reviews (in Days), 2010-2022¹

	Days
2010	43
2011	42
2012	41
2013	40
2014	40
2015	38
2016	38
2017	40
2018	43
2019	34
2020	33
2021	34
2022 ²	33

Table 8: Average Number of Reviewers Invited per Manuscript to Secure 3 Reviewers, 2010-2022¹

	Average	Median
2010	8.3	8
2011	7.8	7
2012	7	6
2013	7	6
2014	8.2	7
2015	7.8	7
2016	8.2	7
2017	9.1	9
2018	7	6
2019	6	7
2020	6	6
2021	7	6
2022 ²	8	8

¹ Data for special Issues are not included in these tables.

² Data for 2022 covers period from January 1st to June 30th.

Special Issues

The special issue on contemporary uses of the Sociology of W. E. B. Du Bois, co-edited by Earl Wright II and Aldon Morris, was published in May of 2021 (68:2). It is a fantastic set of articles (at least one of which, by Hajar Yazdiha, recently won a best article award from the ASA Section on International Migration).

A special issue on the Lives (and Futures) of Black Children and Youth, co-edited by Derrick Brooms and Marcelle Medford, is well under way. We have also accepted a proposal by Victor Ray, Rory Kramer, and Eduardo Bonilla-Silva to guest-edit a special issue on Racism by Omission. See [Table 9](#) for an overview of our special issues

Table 9: Special Issue Submissions

	In Process	Deflect	Reject	R&R	Accept	Total
Contemporary Uses of Du Bois	--	15	9	--	7	31
Black Children & Futures	0	14	5	13	--	32
Racism of Omission	28	26	--	--	--	53

Award-Winning Papers

We are working with OUP to keep the Award-Winning Articles page organized and up to date. We are also working with Mi'Chael and the Social media Committee to try to identify all award-winning papers (authors sometimes inform us, but not always). As far as we can tell, about 5-10 of the papers published in a given year receive awards, but not always in the year they were published (which adds to the difficulties of keeping the list up to date). More detail can be found in the report by the Social Media Committee.

Content Attention and Marketing

Our goal is to continue the wonderful work that the student-run Social Media Committee is doing. Thanks to the work done by outgoing (Trenton Haltom) and current (Mi'Chael Wright) media committee chair, in collaboration with OUP, the quality and utility of the **Author Attic** videos have greatly improved. The Social Media Committee and OUP will have more to say about this, but check out the latest interviews with Sandra Barnes on [Becoming a Man: A Du Boisian Examination of the Experiences of Black Men Who Have Sex with Men](#) and Deadrick Williams on Family Structure, Risks, and Racial Stratification in Poverty (at the time of writing this report, this author-Attic video is missing from the page).

We also keep working with OUP on assembling **Virtual Issues** (curated collections of papers previously published in the journal). This past year we have published two. The final one, on [White Nationalism](#), is curated and introduced by James M. Thomas and the second one, on Discrimination and Violence Directed at Asian Americans, is curated by SunAh Laybourne) dealt with Anti-Asian and Asian American Violence and Discrimination (<https://academic.oup.com/socpro/pages/virtual-issue-asian-american-discrimination>). As already mentioned, the next Virtual Issue, to be released this summer, addresses Climate, Disasters, Environment, and Justice, and is curated by Anita Bledsoe-Gardner and DeMond Miller. The OUP report contains more information about this.

Other Issues of Note

Overall, work on the journal is very smooth. The most extraordinary thing that has impacted our work this year is, of course, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and all the ways it has affected the life and work of everyone involved in the journal (authors, reviewers, staff, editors, administrators, publishers, etc.). While it has not hampered the editorial end of things very much, we know from both authors and reviewers that it has indeed ravaged many lives.

As part of our work as editors, we are required to organize a session during the annual meeting. This year (2022) we have assembled as many members of our most immediate

team (graduate assistants and social media committee members) as possible to partake in a conversation about publishing. We are hoping this format will attract an audience of both aspiring and seasoned authors. Last year (2021), we organized a virtual session which was very well-attended and generated a spirited conversation.

Thinking About the Future

Given how well the journal is doing – with submissions, attention, and citations – we have no plans to overhaul it. Rather, we want to continue the work we started four years ago to make *Social Problems* **the** go-to journal for important work with social justice implications.

Since this is our final term as editors, it is imperative that SSSP identify a new editorial team to take over when our term ends in May of 2024. We know that the Editorial and Publications Committee has already begun this process. It goes without saying that we are more than willing to assist in this process in whatever way the committee wishes.

Appendix 1: Additional Information About Manuscripts and Authors

Table 10: MS Submissions by Author's Region and Country, 2015-2021¹

Region/Country	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Africa	1	1	2	2	0	2	2	0
Egypt	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Ethiopia	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Ghana	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Nigeria	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
South Africa	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	0
Tunisia	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asia	20	18	17	18	30	16	20	8
Azerbaijan	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
China	2	0	2	2	2	2	1	2
Hong Kong	2	1	2	4	2	2	1	0
India	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0
Indonesia	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Iran	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Israel	10	8	8	7	12	2	6	3
Japan	2	1	0	0	3	0	2	1
Jordan	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Pakistan	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Russia	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Singapore	0	1	2	2	2	0	0	0
South Korea	2	2	0	1	2	3	1	0
Taiwan	1	1	0	0	0	1	3	1
Turkey	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Australia & Oceania	5	9	8	9	2	5	9	4
Australia	4	8	6	9	2	4	9	4
Fiji	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
New Zealand	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Europe	36	44	24	33	25	41	32	21

Austria	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Belgium	2	1	2	0	2	1	0	0
Croatia	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Czech Republic	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Denmark	3	4	0	2	1	1	1	1
Estonia	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Finland	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
France	2	1	2	1	3	1	3	4
Germany	4	4	5	6	1	4	5	4
Hungary	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iceland	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ireland	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0
Italy	1	2	1	0	0	1	2	0
Luxembourg	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Netherlands	5	7	2	4	3	1	2	0
Norway	0	3	0	1	4	3	0	0
Poland	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
Portugal	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Romania	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Serbia	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Slovenia	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Spain	1	1	1	3	3	8	5	4
Sweden	1	2	1	4	0	6	2	2
Switzerland	1	2	1	0	2	1	2	1
United Kingdom	7	13	8	7	4	7	3	4
North America	309	258	242	225	309	290	290	161
Canada	15	15	8	10	12	15	15	4
Mexico	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
United States of America	293	243	234	213	296	275	275	157
South America	0	2	1	1	1	2	3	1
Argentina	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Brazil	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Chile	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Columbia	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Ecuador	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Uruguay	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Unknown Country	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

¹ Author's country refers to the submitting author's listed country of residence on Manuscript Central.

Table 11: Original MS Submissions by Topic Area, 2016-2020¹

MS Topic Area	2016	2017	2018 ²	2019	2020	2021 ³	2022
Children & Youth	6 (2%)	8 (3%)	4 (2%)	6 (2%)	4 (1%)	14 (4%)	1 (1%)
Crime & Juvenile Delinquency	25 (7%)	24 (8%)	13 (7%)	24 (7%)	16 (4%)	14 (4%)	5 (4%)
Deviance	2 (1%)	6 (2%)	0	0	0	0	0
Disabilities	1 (.3%)	0	0	0	0	1 (0.1%)	0
Education	19 (6%)	17 (6%)	16 (8%)	24 (7%)	25 (7%)	25 (6%)	6 (4%)
Environment & Technology	15 (6%)	8 (3%)	6 (3%)	14 (4%)	14 (4%)	11 (3%)	0
Family	9 (3%)	6 (2%)	8 (4%)	16 (5%)	5 (1%)	7 (2%)	0
Gender	7 (2%)	16 (5%)	14 (7%)	21 (6%)	19 (5%)	26 (7%)	13 (9%)
Global Issues	4 (1%)	5 (2%)	0	0	0	1 (0.3%)	3 (2%)
Health	17 (5%)	17 (6%)	12 (6%)	17 (5%)	21 (7%)	20 (6%)	10 (6.90%)
Identity	6 (2%)	0	3 (2%)	6 (2%)	3 (1%)	3 (.8%)	0
Immigration	16 (5%)	18 (6%)	8 (4%)	6 (2%)	24 (7%)	18 (5%)	6 (4%)
Law & Society	10 (3%)	18 (6%)	2 (1%)	19 (6%)	12 (3%)	19 (5%)	3 (2%)
Life Course	3 (1%)	3 (1%)	2 (11%)	5 (1%)	6 (1%)	0	0
Media	7 (2%)	5 (2%)	3 (2%)	5 (1%)	5 (1%)	6 (2%)	0
Methods	2 (1%)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Politics	22 (7%)	12 (4%)	6 (3%)	7 (2%)	13 (4%)	21 (6%)	6 (4%)
Poverty, Class, & Inequality	22 (7%)	15 (5%)	16 (8%)	27 (8%)	37 (10%)	8 (2%)	3 (2%)
Race & Ethnicity	24 (7%)	31 (11%)	28 (15%)	36 (10%)	63 (18%)	65 (18%)	39 (27)
Religion	10 (3%)	7 (2%)	3 (2%)	3 (1%)	3 (1%)	8 (2%)	5 (4%)
Sexuality	12 (4%)	7 (2%)	2 (1%)	13 (4%)	7 (2%)	4 (1%)	2 (1%)
Social Movements	11 (3%)	16 (5%)	12 (6%)	17 (5%)	12 (3%)	17 (5%)	7 (5%)
Social Welfare Policy	1 (.3%)	0	3 (2%)	6 (2%)	9 (3%)	5 (1%)	0
Social Problems Theory	20 (6%)	6 (2%)	0	0	0	0	0
Work & Economy	20 (6%)	18 (6%)	12 (6%)	22 (6%)	25 (7%)	21 (6%)	9 (6%)
Other	31 (9%)	21 (7%)	16 (8%)	47 (14%)	34 (10%)	38 (11%)	21 (15%)
Not Coded	12 (4%)	1 (.3%)	0	0	0	1 (.3%)	0
Total	334	294	189	341	357	360	145

¹ Special issue submissions are not included.

² Includes data only for the period from May 1st to December 30th

³ Includes data for the period from January 1st to June 30th.

Table 12: New MS Submissions by Method, 2016-2020

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Qualitative	52%	48%	43%	50%	51%	48%	50%
Quantitative/Mixed/Other	48%	52%	57%	50%	49%	52%	50%

Appendix 2: *Social Problems* Advisory Board, 2022-2023, still in progress

Co-Editors

Annulla Linders, University of Cincinnati
Earl Wright II, Rhodes College
Derrick Brooms, University of Tennessee

Managing Editor:

Kyle Shupe, University of Cincinnati

Assistant Editors:

Anthony Stone, University of Cincinnati
Kierra Toney, University of Cincinnati

Associate Editors

Littisha Bates, University of Cincinnati **(2021 member)**
Japonica Brown-Saracino, Boston University
Shantel Buggs, Florida State University
Tomeka Davis, Georgia State University
Meredith Dye, Middle Tennessee State University
John Eason, University of Wisconsin
Kalasia Ojeh, Keane College
LaShawnDa Pittman, University of Washington
Jeffrey Timberlake, University of Cincinnati **(2021 member)**

Editorial Advisory Board

Shaontá Allen, Dartmouth College
Rachel Allison, Mississippi State University **(2021 member)**
Felicia Arriaga, Appalachian State University
Loretta Bass, University of Oklahoma
Monica Bell, Yale University
Latricia Best, Boston College
Carla Brailey, Texas Southern University
Natalie Byfield, St. John's University
Jessica Calarco, Indiana University
Koji Chavez, Indiana University **(2021 member)**
Fae Chubin, Bradley University
Jelisa Clark, Fayetteville State University
Barbara Combs, Clark Atlanta University
Catherine Corrigan-Brown, University of British Columbia
Jose Miguel Cruz, Florida International University
Ebonie Cunningham-Stringer, Penn State Berks

Christopher Dum, Kent State University
Robert Durán, Texas A&M University
Marlese Durr, Wright State University
Linsey Edwards, New York University
Sean Elias, Ashland University
Deborwah Faulk, James Madison University
Luis Fernandez, Northern Arizona University
Crystal Fleming, Stonybrook University
Kelley Fong, Georgia Tech
Jason Ford, University of Central Florida **(2021 member)**
Chiara Galli, Cornell University
Jacinta Gau, University of Central Florida
Alyssa Goldman, Boston College
Vanessa Gonlin, University of Georgia
Jessica Goodkind, University of New Mexico
Daanika Gordon, Tufts University
Devon Goss, Emory University
Saida Grundy, Boston University **(2021 member)**
Susila Gurusami, University of Illinois, Chicago
Carmen Gutierrez, University of North Carolina
Melissa Guzman-Garcia, San Francisco State University
Margaret Hagerman, Mississippi State University **(2021 member)**
Chantal Hailey, UT Austin
Laura Hamilton, University of California Merced
Yossi Harpaz, Tel Aviv University
Cameron Herman, Buffalo State College
Brooklyn Hitchens, University of Maryland
Melissa Hodges, Villanova University
Lori Holyfield, University of Arkansas
Jason Houle, Dartmouth College
Linsey Huff-Corzine, University of Central Florida
Elaina Johns-Wolfe, University of Missouri, St. Louis
Young-An Kim, Florida State University
Hadi Khoshnevis, Rhodes College
Jack Jin Gary Lee, New School for Social Research
Sarah Mayorga, Brandeis University
Julia McQuillan, University of Nebraska
Marcelle Medford, Bates College
Ellis Monk, Harvard University **(2021 member)**
Dawn Mouzon, Rutgers University
Timothy O'Brien, University of Wisconsin Milwaukee
Caitlin Patler, UC Davis
Anthony Peguero, Arizona State University

Carol Rambo, University of Memphis
Victor Ray, University of Iowa
Candice Robinson, University of North Carolina, Wilmington
Zawadi Rucks-Ahidiana, University of Albany
Ariela Schachter, Washington University St Louis
Louise Seamster, University of Iowa
Ahoo Tabatabai, Columbia College
Andrew Tatch, Troy University
Megan Underhill, University of North Carolina, Asheville
Xia Wang, Arizona State University
Simon Weffer, Northern Illinois University **(2021 member)**
Hajar Yazdiha, USC Dornsife

Social Media Committee

Mi'Chael Wright, University of Minnesota (Chair)
Catalina Anampa Castro, University of Michigan
Endia Hayes, Rutgers University
Guy Hodge II, Georgia State University
J'Mauri Jackson, Indiana University
Joshua Warren, Kent State University